IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 9950 of 2004

Between:

C. Sita Mahalaxmi, W/o Late s. Prasad,
R/o Plot No. 64, Street No.2, Srinivasa Colony, Swaroop Nagar, Uppal, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 AP Transco, Rep. by its Chairman & Managing Director,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Central Power Distribution Company Ltd, Hyderabad,
Rep. by its Managing Director.
3 The Superintending Engineer, AP Transco (N), Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction one in the nature of writ of mandamus:- a) Declaring the letter dated 9-8-1999 of the 3rd respondent and the further action of the respondents in not passing any orders on my appeal dated 17-2-2000 as bad, illegal and arbitrary, b) Consequentially direct the respondents to consider my case for absorption and regularize me in the post of LDC/Typist or any other suitable post in terms of BPMS No. 36, dated 18-5-1997and other circulars issued by the respondent corporation from time to time; c) and pass such other order or orders as are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case;

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.W.B.SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondents No.: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

ORDER:

Heard the counsel on either side. At their request, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal.

The case of the petitioner is that in fact she has been working with the respondents since 1-4-1997 which is supported by various documents, however, the same have not been taken into consideration by the authorities, and as such she submitted a detailed representation on 17-02-2000 to the third respondent. The main grievance of the petitioner in fact is as to not disposing of the said representation by the respondents herein. She seeks a direction to the respondents to consider her case for absorption and for regularisation of her services in the post of LDC/Typist or any other suitable post in terms of BPMS No.36, dt. 18-05-1997. Hence, the writ petition.

Having regard to the fact that the representation filed by the petitioner dated 17-02-2000 is still pending with the respondents, without going in to merits of the case, it would suffice, if a direction is given to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner in terms of BPMS No. 36 dated 18-05-1997.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the respondents to dispose of the representation/petition filed by the petitioner dated 17-2-2000 on merits, in accordance with law, after taking into consideration the documents filed on behalf of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders, within a period of four weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

B. PRAKASH RAO, J

Dt 28//12/2004

Kvrk

To

1 The Chairman & Managing Director, AP Transco,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, The Central Power Distribution Company Ltd, Hyderabad,
3 The Superintending Engineer, AP Transco (N), Hyderabad.

4 Two CD copies.